To: "Tom Hagler" [Hagler.Tom@epamail.epa.gov]
From: CN=Karen Schwinn/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US

Sent: Tue 4/26/2011 8:25:13 PM

Subject: Fw: BDCP

http://bdcpweb.com/Files/Highlights of the BDCP FINAL 03-17-11.pdf

pidlof@usbr.gov

From: "Idlof, Patricia S" [PIdlof@usbr.gov]

Sent: 04/26/2011 02:10 PM CST

To: Karen Schwinn Cc: Erin Foresman Subject: RE: BDCP

Hi Karen,

I agree that our meeting last Friday was very productive and I'm looking forward to having more of them. I too had trouble finding the presentation given at our last BECT meeting (June 15, 2010) regarding the screening process, criteria, and resultant preliminary array of alternatives, so I've asked the consultant to track it down for me. I looked through some of my meeting notes and recalled that a presentation on this was also given at a Steering Committee meeting. A brief description and table of the preliminary array of alternatives is included in the state's BDCP Highlights document on pages 74-76 (see link).

http://bdcpweb.com/Files/Highlights_of_the_BDCP_FINAL_03-17-11.pdf

Yes, I believe that's what I said at last Thursday's meeting. What I was addressing was that the alternatives need to at least partially satisfy the P&N, or they wouldn't be included for analysis. A "reduced export alternative" would be difficult to define (how much reduced? reduced from what?) and wouldn't meet the co-equal goals of the project. That doesn't mean that one or more alternatives would not result in reduced exports (which some actually do).

The results of the screening process will be described in the alternatives development report, an appendix to the EIR/EIS which has not been completed. So I don't have a detailed writeup to forward you at this point.

Hope this helps!

Patti Idlof Bureau of Reclamation Mid-Pacific Region, MP-152 916-992-3566 (c) pidlof@usbr.gov

From: Schwinn.Karen@epamail.epa.gov [Schwinn.Karen@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Monday, April 25, 2011 3:31 PM

To: Idlof, Patricia S

Cc: Nawi, David Subject: BDCP

Hi Patti -

I heard that Friday's meeting on 404 integration was productive - thanks for organizing it.

I wanted to get clarification on what I think I heard on last Thursday's federal agency call. It was in the context of discussing the State Board's recent letter about the need for a "reduced export" alternative. I think I heard you say that this could be a problem for the federal DEIS as the Screening Criteria had screened out (or would screen out) such an alternative. Did I get that right? You were going to send me the Screening Criteria because somehow I had missed that this document had been completed. Is there also a report documenting the results of applying this criteria? Or was that what you said was done by powerpoint at a BECT meeting?

Thanks Patti! - Karen

KAREN SCHWINN **Associate Director** Water Division U.S. EPA Region 9 75 Hawthorne Street (Wtr-1) San Francisco, CA 94105 415/972-3472 415/297-5509 (mobile) 415/947-3537 (fax)